

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama
Page 1 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
17(4)	UST Building 1696 Motor Pool 1600	<p>UST was closed in place in 1994. During tank closure, approximately 7 feet of associated piping was removed. The UST was constructed of single-wall steel and no holes were observed in the tank. The tank was filled with concrete slurry. Soil samples were collected for analysis. Approximately two cubic yards of contaminated soil were stockpiled and sampled for analysis. The closure report did not document the disposition method of the removed soil.</p> <p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
18(3)	UST Building 1697 Motor Pool 1600	<p>UST was closed in place in 1994.</p> <p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
19(3)	Former Gas Station, Building 1694 Motor Pool 1600	<p>USTs were removed in 1991.</p> <p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 2 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.
21(7)	Base Service Station, Building 2109	<p>In 1991 four old USTs were removed and replaced with four new USTs. Quarterly groundwater sampling program was conducted for 3 years.</p> <p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that past operations at the site have impacted subsurface soil and groundwater:</p> <p>Subsurface soil: PAHs Groundwater: VOCs</p> <p>One PAH compound (benzo(a)pyrene) exceeded its SSSL at only one location. Benzene was identified in groundwater at concentrations that showed a general declining trend. Benzene concentrations were below its SSSL and MCL except in one sample. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Base Service Station, Building 2109, Parcels 21(7) and 22(7), March.
22(7)	Base Service Station, Building 2109	<p>UST was removed in 1994.</p> <p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that past operations at the site have impacted subsurface soil and groundwater.:</p> <p>Subsurface soil: PAHs Groundwater: VOCs</p> <p>One PAH compound (benzo(a)pyrene) exceeded its SSSL at only one location. Benzene was identified in groundwater at concentrations that showed a general declining trend. Benzene concentrations were below its SSSL and MCL except in one sample. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Base Service Station, Building 2109, Parcels 21(7) and 22(7), March.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 3 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
24(7)	UST Building 3138/Motor Pool Area 3100	<p>UST was removed and replaced in 1994. The replacement UST was removed in 2002.</p> <p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Field investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface and Depositional soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Subsurface soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Groundwater water: metals, VOCs</p> <p>Based on the results of the site investigations, past operations have impacted the environment. However, the compounds detected in site media do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Because the benzene source in soil has been removed, benzene concentrations in groundwater are expected to naturally attenuate. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Motor Pool Area 3100, Parcels 146(7), 212(7), 24(7), 25(7), and 73(7), April.
25(7)	UST Building 3138/Motor Pool Area 3100	<p>UST was replaced in 1996. The replacement UST was removed in 2002.</p> <p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Field investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface and Depositional soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Subsurface soil: metals, SVOCs</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Motor Pool Area 3100, Parcels 146(7), 212(7), 24(7), 25(7), and 73(7), April.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 4 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>Groundwater water: metals, VOCs</p> <p>Based on the results of the site investigations, past operations have impacted the environment. However, the compounds detected in site media do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Because the benzene source in soil has been removed, benzene concentrations in groundwater are expected to naturally attenuate. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	
43(4)	Former Building 796	<p>UST was removed in 1996. During tank removal, the tank was observed to be in good condition. Soil samples were collected and field screened. 21 cubic yards of contaminated soil was excavated and stockpiled to await treatment/disposal. Stockpiled soil was sampled and analyzed for TPH. Contaminated soil was disposed of.</p> <p>A UST closure assessment was conducted. Three subsurface soil and one groundwater sample was collected and analyzed for BTEX and TPH. UST closure assessment results indicated that there are no chemicals associated with the site that present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Underground Storage Tank Closure Assessment Report, February.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for the Underground Storage Tank Parcels, April.</p>
66(7)	Former Small Weapons Repair Shop, Building 335	<p>A remedial investigation/focused feasibility study is currently underway.</p> <p>Field investigation results indicated that VOCs exceeded SSLs or ESVs in groundwater.</p> <p>The SRA concluded that the concentration of chlorinated solvents in groundwater presents an unacceptable risk to human health. The risk is not realized unless the water is developed as a potable water source. The SLERA concluded that none of the constituents of potential</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Small Weapons Repair Shop, Parcel 66(7), May.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Focused Feasibility Study, Small Weapons Repair Shop, Parcel 66(7), November.</p> <p>NRC, 2000, Inspection Report No. 01-02861-05/99-01, March.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 5 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		ecological concern presents a threat to terrestrial ecosystems at the site.	
71(3)	Building 1643 Washrack	<p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
73(7)	Building 3142, Washrack	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Field investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface and Depositional soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Subsurface soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Groundwater water: metals, VOCs</p> <p>Based on the results of the site investigations, past operations have impacted the environment. However, the compounds detected in site media do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Because the benzene source in soil has been removed, benzene concentrations in groundwater are expected to naturally attenuate. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Motor Pool Area 3100, Parcels 146(7), 212(7), 24(7), 25(7), and 73(7), April.
78(6)	Landfill No. 1	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded ESVs in the below listed site media:</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama
Page 6 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>Surface soil: metals, pesticides, SVOCs</p> <p>Sediment: metals, pesticides</p> <p>The SRA and SLERA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health or ecological risks under CERCLA.</p>	<p>and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p>
79(6)	Landfill No. 2	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated that metals and SVOCs exceeded ESVs in surface soil.</p> <p>The SRA and SLERA concluded that metals and SVOCs in surface soil posed unacceptable risks to a potential resident and posed potential risks for ecological receptors.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p>
80(6)	Landfill No. 3	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface soil: metals, pesticides, SVOCs</p> <p>Surface water: metals</p> <p>Sediment: metals, SVOCs, pesticides</p> <p>The SRA concluded that exposure to surface soil (metals) and groundwater (VOCs) present unacceptable risks to a potential resident but presents no unacceptable human health risk to the recreational site user. The SLERA concluded that the site does not present any unacceptable risk to the ecological receptor.</p> <p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination in groundwater is currently underway.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Addendum III for the Remedial Investigation at Landfill No. 3, Parcel 80(6), November.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft 3rd and 4th Quarter Groundwater Sampling Plan at Landfill No. 3, Parcel 80(6), August.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan for the Remedial Investigation at Landfill No. 3, Parcel 80(6), January.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Site-Specific Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Field Sampling Plan Attachment, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan Attachment Landfill No. 3, Parcel 80(6), April.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 7 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
81(5)	Landfill No. 4	<p>Clay cap was constructed in 1994. Ongoing quarterly groundwater monitoring.</p> <p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site. EE/CA investigation results indicated that metals and VOCs in groundwater exceeded residential human health SSSLs or ESVs.</p> <p>The SRA and SLERA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health or ecological risks under CERCLA.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p>
93(7)	Former Decontamination Complex, Building 1271	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the site usage, target analyses for groundwater and surface soil include TAL metals, TCL VOCs and nitroaromatic/nitramine explosives.</p>	IT, 2001, Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Former Decontamination Complex, Parcels 93(7), 46(7), and 70(7), September.
94(7)	Former Chemical Laundry & Former Motor Pool Areas 1400 and 1500	<p>A remedial investigation/ focused feasibility study is currently underway.</p> <p>Field investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface and Depositional soil: metals, PAHs</p> <p>Groundwater water: VOCs</p> <p>A SRA concluded that the site presents no risk to a recreational site user, construction worker or groundskeeper. Vinyl chloride and TCE in groundwater, however, may pose potential risk to a resident. The SLERA concluded that the site presents no risk to ecological receptors.</p>	<p>IT, 2003, Draft Focused Feasibility Study, Former Chemical Laundry and Motor Pool Area 1500, Parcel 94(7), February.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Former Chemical Laundry and Motor Pool Area 1500, Parcel 94(7), Volumes I and II, May.</p>
105(3)	Former Smoke Area R, Central Main Post	Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either	IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Former Smoke Area R, Parcel 105(6), February.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 8 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site. An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).	IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Former Smoke Area R, Parcel 105(6), February. Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.
106(3)	Former Smoke Area S, Central Main Post	Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site. An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).	IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Former Smoke Area S, Parcel 106(6), March. IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Former Smoke Area S, Parcel 106(6), March. Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.
122(3)	Former Fog Oil Storage Area Main Post	Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site. An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).	IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Former Fog Oil Storage Area West of the Skeet Range, Parcel 122(7), March. IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for the Former Fog Oil Storage Area West of the Skeet Range, Parcel 122(7), March. Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.
124(3)	Former Smoke Area BVZ, Main Post	Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.	IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Former Smoke Area BVZ, Parcel 124(7), March. IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Former Smoke Area BVZ, Parcel 124(7), March.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 9 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.
126(7)	Former Post Garbage Dump	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface soil: metals, pesticides</p> <p>Surface water: metals</p> <p>Sediment: metals</p> <p>The SRA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health risks under CERCLA. The SLERA concluded that surface soils and surface water may pose potential risks to ecological receptors.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>
127(7)	Washrack/Grease rack, Building 1740 Soldiers Chapel	<p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination are currently underway. Soil removal was conducted for soil contaminated with metals.</p> <p>Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report, Former Washrack, Building 1740, Soldier's Chapel Parcel 127(7), August.
132(7)	Former Gas Station at Building 1594	<p>A geophysical survey was conducted and no UST was found at the site. Geophysical results led to the removal and disposal of two 2-inch diameter steel pipes.</p> <p>A UST investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2001, Final Underground Storage Tank Removal Closure Reports, November.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama
Page 10 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
133(7)	Former Gas Station at Area 14, Building 1494	<p>A geophysical survey was conducted and no UST was found at the site. Geophysical results led to the removal and disposal of two 2-inch diameter steel pipes.</p> <p>A UST investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2001, Final Underground Storage Tank Removal Closure Reports, November.
134(7)	Former Gas Station at Area 15, Building 1594A	<p>A geophysical survey was conducted and no UST was found at the site.</p> <p>A UST investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2001, Final Underground Storage Tank Removal Closure Reports, November.
140(7)	Former Gas Station at Building 1294	<p>A geophysical survey was conducted and old piping was removed from the site.</p> <p>A UST investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	IT, 2001, Final Underground Storage Tank Removal Closure Reports, November.
146(7)	Motor Pool Area 3100	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Field investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface and Depositional soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Subsurface soil: metals, SVOCs</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Motor Pool Area 3100, Parcels 146(7), 212(7), 24(7) and 25(7), and 73(7), April.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 11 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		Groundwater water: metals, VOCs Based on the results of the site investigations, past operations have impacted the environment. However, the compounds detected in site media do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Because the benzene source in soil has been removed, benzene concentrations in groundwater are expected to naturally attenuate. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.	
147(3)	Motor Pool at Area 3100, just south of 23 rd Street	A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination has been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site. An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).	IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Former Motor Pool Area 3100, 23 rd Street, Parcels 147(7), 27(7), 28(7), and 72(7), March. IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Former Motor Pool Area 3100, 23 rd Street, Parcels 147(7), 27(7), 28(7), and 72(7), March. Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.
155(7)	Ground Scar with Small Pit north of Landfill No. 3	A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.	IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Ground Scar with Small Pit North of Landfill No. 3, Parcel 155(7), November. IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for the Ground Scar with Small Pit North of Landfill No. 3, Parcel 155(7), November.
157(3)	Ground Scar South of the Autocraft Shop.	Site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination have been complete. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. No Further Action is required for the site.	IT, 2002, Final Revision 1 Site Investigation Report, Ground Scar South of the Autocraft Shop, Parcel 157(7), January. IT, 2002, Final Revision 1 Decision Document for the Ground Scar South of the Autocraft Shop, Parcel 157(7), January.
161(1)	CERFA Parcel, Main Post		Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1998, Final Environmental Baseline Survey, Volumes I & II, Fort McClellan,

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 12 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
			Alabama, January.
163(3)	Motor Pool Area 1600	<p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
175(5)	Industrial Landfill	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated that metals and VOCs in groundwater exceeded residential human health SSLs or ESVs.</p> <p>The SRA and SLERA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health or ecological risks under CERCLA.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p>
177(3)	Range 16 AST	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination has been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2000, Final Site Investigation Report, Range 16 AST, Parcel 177(7), October.</p> <p>IT, 2000, Final Decision Document for the Range 16 AST, Parcel 177(7), October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 13 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
180(7)	Former Detection and Identification Area	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
181(7)	Training Area T-4: Former Biological Simulant Test Area	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, nitroexplosives and perchlorate.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Addendum, Site Investigation at Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road, Parcel 181(7), 194(7), 518(7), 73Q-X, 91Q-X, 114-X, 115Q, 116Q-X, 117Q-X, 129Q-X, 151Q, 200Q, 201Q, 228Q, 229Q-X, 231Q, 232Q, Washington Tank Range and 1950 Rocket Launcher Range, March.</p> <p>IT, 2000, Final Site Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments. Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road, Parcels 181(7), 194(7), 518(7), 73Q-X, 91Q-X, 114Q-X, 115Q, 116Q-X, 117Q-X, 129Q-X, 151Q, 200Q, 201Q, 228Q, 229Q-X, 231Q, 232Q-X, Washington tank Range, and 1950 Rocket Launcher Range, December.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama
Page 14 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
			<p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
182(7)	Training Area T-5	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
183(6)	Training Area T-6	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Area T-6 (Naylor Field), Parcel 183(6), October.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 15 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
184(7)	Training Area T-31	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Quarterly sampling is currently being performed.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2000, Final Site Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Chemical Warfare Materiel Site Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7), September.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>
185(7)	Training Area T-31.	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Quarterly sampling is currently being performed.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products</p>	<p>IT, 2000, Final Site Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Chemical Warfare Materiel Site Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7), September.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 16 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).</p>	<p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>
186(6)	Training Area T-38	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, explosives, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Site Specific Sampling Plan Addendum II for the Remedial Investigation (Source Area) at Training Area T-38, Former Technical Escort Reaction Area, Parcel 186(6), August.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Site Specific Sampling Plan Addendum for the Supplemental Remedial Investigation Training Area T-38, Former Technical Escort Reaction Area, Parcel 186(6), July.</p> <p>IT, 2000, Final Supplemental Remedial Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Area T-38, Former Technical Escort Reaction Area, Parcel 186(6), August.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 17 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
188(7)	Old Toxic Training Area	<p>A site investigation to determine the presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p>	<p>IT, 2003, Final Site Investigation Report, Old Toxic Training Area, Parcel 188(7), March.</p> <p>IT, 2003, Final Decision Document for Old Toxic Training Area, Parcel 188(7), March.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p>
194(7)	Former Weapons Demonstration Area	<p>A site investigation to determine the presence or absence of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, nitroexplosives and perchlorate.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>A removal action for OE was completed for the site (M1.01 and M3 Miscellaneous Property)</p>	<p>IT, 2000, Final Site Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments. Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road, Parcels 181(7), 194(7), 518(7), 73Q-X, 91Q-X, 114Q-X, 115Q, 116Q-X, 117Q-X, 129Q-X, 151Q, 200Q, 201Q, 228Q, 229Q-X, 231Q, 232Q-X, Washington tank Range, and 1950 Rocket Launcher Range, December.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Addendum, Site Investigation at Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road, Parcel 181(7), 194(7), 518(7), 73Q-X, 91Q-X, 114-X, 115Q, 116Q-X, 117Q-X, 129Q-X, 151Q, 200Q, 201Q, 228Q, 229Q-X, 231Q, 232Q, Washington Tank Range and 1950 Rocket Launcher Range, March.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 18 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
			<p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2001, Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis M1.01 Parcel, Fort McClellan, Alabama, December.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Final Site Specific Final Report M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Property, Fort McClellan, Alabama, March.</p> <p>U.S. Army Transition Force, 2002, Action Memorandum for M1.01 Parcel, Fort McClellan, Alabama, January.</p>
197(3)	Ammunition Supply Point	Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Ammunition Supply Point and Building 4416, Parcels 197(7) and 199(7), February.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for the Ammunition Supply Point and Building 4416, Parcels 197(7) and 199(7), February.</p>
212(7)	UST Building 3138, Motor Pool	<p>UST was removed and replaced in 1996. The replacement UST was removed in 2002.</p> <p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Field investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface and Depositional soil: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Subsurface soil: metals, SVOCs</p>	IT, 2003, Draft Site Investigation Report, Former Motor Pool Area 3100, Parcels 146(7), 212(7), 24(7), 25(7), and 73(7), April.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 19 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>Groundwater water: metals, VOCs</p> <p>Based on the results of the site investigations, past operations have impacted the environment. However, the compounds detected in site media do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Because the benzene source in soil has been removed, benzene concentrations in groundwater are expected to naturally attenuate. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p>	
227(7)	Fill Area, East of Reilly Air Field	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface soil: metals, pesticides</p> <p>Surface water: metals</p> <p>Sediment: metals</p> <p>The SRA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health risks under CERCLA. The SLERA concluded that surface soils and surface water may pose potential risks to ecological receptors.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 20 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
229(7)	Fill Area NW of Reilly Air Field	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded SSSLs or ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface soil: metals, VOCs</p> <p>Surface water: metals</p> <p>Groundwater : metals, VOCs, RDX, SVOCs</p> <p>Sediment: metals</p> <p>The SRA concluded that naphthalene in groundwater poses a non-cancer risk to humans. The SLERA concluded that metals in surface water may pose potential risks to ecological receptors.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p>
230(7)	Fill Area North of Landfill No. 2	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface soil: metals, pesticides, VOCs</p> <p>Surface water: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>Sediment: metals, SVOCs</p> <p>The SRA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health risks under CERCLA. The SLERA concluded that metals, pesticides and SVOCs in surface soils, surface water and sediments may pose potential risks to ecological receptors.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 21 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).	
231(7)	Fill Area at Range 30	<p>A Landfill/Fill Area EE/CA is currently underway for the site.</p> <p>EE/CA investigation results indicated the following constituents exceeded ESVs in the below listed site media:</p> <p>Surface soil: metals, pesticides, SVOCs</p> <p>Surface water: metals</p> <p>Seep water: metals and SVOCs</p> <p>The SRA concluded that the site presents no unacceptable human health risks under CERCLA. The SLERA concluded that COPECs may pose a risk to ecological receptors.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Alpha Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Report and Fill Areas Definition Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), Volumes 1 - 4, March.</p> <p>IT, 2002, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Landfill and Fill Areas Report, Parcels 78(6), 79(6), 80(6), 81(5), 175(5), 230(7), 227(7), 126(7), 229(7), 231(7), 233(7), and 82(7), March.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Alpha Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, February.</p>
234(1)	Trenches at Range 19	<p>August 2001, a site inspection was performed. The inspection determined that the trenches at Range 19 were a result of the grading associated with the berms at Range 19. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>EPA Concurrence Letter dated October 11, 2001.</p> <p>U.S. Army Request for Administrative Closure Letter dated September 17, 2001.</p> <p>ADEM Review and Concurrence Letter for Parcels 232 and 234 dated September 12, 2001.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 22 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
			Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.
500(3)	Trenches West of Iron Mountain Road	<p>Site investigations to determine presence or absence of contamination have been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Trenches West of Iron Mountain, Parcel 500(7), September.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for the Trenches West of Iron Mountain, Parcel 500(7), September.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
503(3)	Suspect UST at Building 1689 Motor Pool Area 1600	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination has been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
504(4)	UST Building 1693 Motor Pool Area 1600	<p>UST was removed in 1991. Upon the removal of the UST, the four sides and bottom of the excavation were sampled. TPH concentrations were found to range from non detect to 710 ppm. Contaminated soils were excavated and transported to an area on Main Post for incineration.</p> <p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site Investigation Report, Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>IT, 2001, Final Decision Document for Motor Pool Area 1600, Parcels 163(7), 17(7), 18(7), 19(7), 71(7), 503(7), and 504(7), April.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 23 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		has been completed. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is required for the site. An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).	Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.
510(7)	Cane Creek Training Area	A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.	IT, 2002, Draft Final Site Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Cane Creek Training Area, Parcel 510(7), September. Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June. Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.
511(7)	Blacktop Training Area	A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway. Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products. CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.	IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October. Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June. Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.
512(7)	Fenced Yard in Blacktop Training Area	A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway. Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface	IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October.

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 24 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p>	<p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p>
513(7)	Dog Training Area	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
514(7)	Old Burn Pit	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Page 25 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
		<p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>
516(7)	Dog Kennel Area	<p>A remedial investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface and depositional soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, and chemical agent breakdown products.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A “No Further Action” alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p> <p>An OE EE/CA is currently underway for the site (Bravo Area).</p>	<p>IT, 2002, Draft Remedial Investigation Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments for Training Areas T-5 Sites, Parcels 180(7), 182(7), 511(7), 512(7), 513(7), 514(7), and 516(7), October.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p> <p>Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation, 2003, Draft Final Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Bravo Area of the Redevelopment Area, Fort McClellan, Alabama, May.</p>

Table 3
Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer
CERFA Parcels
Fort McClellan, Alabama
Page 26 of 26

Parcel No.	Site Name	Findings/Remedial Actions	Documentation
517(7)	CBR Proficiency Area	<p>A site investigation to determine the presence or absence of contamination is currently underway. Site investigation results indicated that no chemicals associated with the site present an unacceptable risk to either human health or the environment. "No Further Action" is recommended for the site.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p>	<p>IT, 2003, Final Site Investigation Report, CBR Proficiency Area, Parcel 517, July.</p> <p>IT, 2003, Final Decision Document for CBR Proficiency Area, Parcel 517, July.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p>
518(7)	Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road – South Gate Toxic Yard	<p>A site investigation to determine presence or absence of contamination is currently underway.</p> <p>Based on the history of the training area usage, target analyses for surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment include TAL metals, TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, nitroexplosives and perchlorate.</p> <p>CWM EE/CA investigation results indicated that the risk of exposure to CWM at this site is unlikely. A "No Further Action" alternative with regards to CWM was selected for the site.</p>	<p>IT, 2001, Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Addendum, Site Investigation at Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road, Parcel 181(7), 194(7), 518(7), 73Q-X, 91Q-X, 114-X, 115Q, 116Q-X, 117Q-X, 129Q-X, 151Q, 200Q, 201Q, 228Q, 229Q-X, 231Q, 232Q, Washington Tank Range and 1950 Rocket Launcher Range, March.</p> <p>IT, 2000, Final Site Investigation, Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments. Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road, Parcels 181(7), 194(7), 518(7), 73Q-X, 91Q-X, 114Q-X, 115Q, 116Q-X, 117Q-X, 129Q-X, 151Q, 200Q, 201Q, 228Q, 229Q-X, 231Q, 232Q-X, Washington tank Range, and 1950 Rocket Launcher Range, December.</p> <p>Parsons Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Materiel Engineering Evaluation/ Cost Analysis, Fort McClellan, June.</p> <p>Parsons, Engineering Science, Inc., 2002, Final Action Memorandum Chemical Warfare Materiel, Engineering Evaluation/Cost analysis, Fort McClellan, October.</p>